

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/659,748	09/11/2003	Manabu Nakamura	031140	3468
38834	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			SMITH, BRADLEY	
SUITE 700	ECTICUT AVENUE, NV	V	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036		2891	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 1: 4: 1:	T A 1!	_ <i>X</i> (
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	••
Office Action Summany	10/659,748	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of this accomplisation and	Bradley K. Smith	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-10,12,14-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7,11,17 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original origi	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/659,748 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misium et al. (US Patent 6,261,973) in view of Wong (US Patent 5,423,944). Misium et al. disclose forming a first insulation film on the surface of

Application/Control Number: 10/659,748

Art Unit: 2829

the substrate then forming a second dielectric film by low temperature processing. With regards to claims 2 and 4, the second dielectric film is formed via plasma nitridation (see title). With regards to claims 9 and 16, Misium et al. disclose performing the plasma nitridation below 650 degrees C (see column 2 lines 35-40). However Misium fails to disclose the formation of the first dielectric layer by using a strong acid. Whereas Wong disclose forming the oxide via acidic solution. With regards to claims 6 and 12, Misium disclose the use of nitric acid (see column 1 lines 20-25). With regards to claim 8 and 14, Misium disclose the use of ozone in an acidic solution (see column 2 lines 50-65). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Misium and Wong because the oxidizing agents such as nitric acid help remove defects (see Wong column 1 lines 20-25).

Page 3

6. Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong (US Patent 5,423,944) in view of Dobuzinsky et al (US Patent 5,412,246). Wong disclose forming a first insulation film using a strong acid solution on the face of the substrate. However Wong fails to disclose forming a second insulation film by low temperature processing. Whereas Dobuzinsky et al. disclose the formation of a second dielectric layer using low temperature processing. With regards to claim 3, Dobuzinsky et al. disclose using a low temperature oxidation plasma(see title). With regards to claim 5, Dobuzinsky et al. disclose forming an ONO film (see column lines 55-65). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Wong and Dobuzinsky et al in view of

Art Unit: 2829

because the oxidizing agents such as nitric acid help remove defects (see Wong column 1 lines 20-25).

7. Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong (US Patent 5,423,944) in view of Dobuzinsky et al (US Patent 5,412,246). as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Misium et al. (US Patent 6,261,973). Wong and Dobuzinsky et al disclose the forming of two insulation layers. However they fail to teach the use of nitric acid and an ozone containing solution (see above). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Wong and Dobuzinsky et aLin-view of Misium et al. because the oxidizing agents such as nitric acid help remove defects (see Wong column 1 lines 20-25).

15/65 3/21/05

8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misium et al. (US Patent 6,261,973) in view of Wong (US Patent 5,423,944). Misium et al. and Wong et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the first insulation film has a film thickness of 1nm or more. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make an oxide film great than one nanometer, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 2829

In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, furthermore if the dielectric film were less than one nanometer it would lose its dielectric properties.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 7, 11, 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record neither teaches nor suggests heating the nitric acid above 70 deg C (claim 7) forming a gate insulation film or a tunnel insulation film (claims 11, 18, and 19).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley K. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571) 272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,748 Page 6

Art Unit: 2829

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brad Smith

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2829